REVISTA
ESPAÑOLA DE PODOLOGÍA

Publicación Oficial del Consejo General de Colegios Oficiales de Podólogos

Instructions for Authors

The *Revista Española de Podología* (spanish podiatry journal) is the official journal of the *Consejo General de Colegios Oficiales de Podólogos* (Council of Colleges of Podiatry in Spain). It is an online scientific journal published biannually, each six months, in Open Access format and peer reviewed. It encompasses all aspects of research and clinical practice related to the assessment, diagnoses, prevention and treatment of foot and ankle disorders. It also includes politicial, ethical and organizational issues of the Podiatry profession.

The journal accepts english written and spanish written manuscripts for their publication. All manuscripts will be reviewed by two independent peers designated by de Editorial Board with a double blinded system (neither the reviewers nor the authors will know each other).

Revista Española de Podología does not charge any fees to the authors for its publication in the journal nor for suscription to readers. The Council of Professional Colleges of Podiatry of Spain takes care of all fees and charges associated with the management, edition and publication of the manuscripts in the journal. The Council will also handle the advertising within the journal.

Revista Española de Podología considers the following papers for its publication:

Original Papers: Clinical or laboratory original works including randomized clinical trials, crossover trials, meta-analysis of systematic reviews, prospective cohort observational studies, retrospective case-control studies, prevalence studies, case series, concordance studies, ecological studies and descriptive studies.

Reviews: systematic review without meta-analysis and comprehensive or narrative reviews about a particular issue of a topic covered by the journal.

Clinical Cases and Clinical Notes: Short type papers focused on clinical aspects of an interesting or an unusual case in which relevant or original conclusions can be extracted. This section also encompasses detailed diagnostic, clinical or surgical descriptions of a new or a helpful technique for use with good and detailed pictures of the maneuver.

Updates: Papers focused on a concrete issue of the scope of the journal, that are accompanied by personal opinions or comments by the authors. Those papers are requested from the editorial office of the journal to selected authors that are considered leaders of opinion on a particular subject.

Letters to Editor: Short type of manuscripts that can fall into one of these three forms: 1) substantial analysis of a previously published paper in the journal with opinions, comments or critiques about the paper; 2) an answer of the authors of a paper to a letter discussing their work; 3) any other type of manuscript that do not cover any previous detailed types of papers accepted in the journal.

Before submitting the manuscript, authors are encouraged to read the Recommendation for Authors section (Authors Recommendations) (https://www.revesppod.com/authors-eng_recomendation-for-authors-eng) where the principal parts of each type of paper are discussed as well as general recommendations.

COPYRIGHT AND LICENCES

Authors that publish their papers in *Revista Española de Podología* will retain the Copyright of their works. The authors confer to the Council of Professional Colleges of Podiatry of Spain the non-exclusive right of publish their work in *Revista Española de Podología* under the terms of the licence explained below. Papers published in the journal are under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License (CC BY - http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). By the application of CC BY license, authors allow users the rights of dissemination and reuse their work without restrictions, with the only requirements that proper attribution is given to the authors, to give a link to the Creative Commons license and to indicate if changes has been made to the original work or paper. That Copyright duty are applied to both, the PDF and the HTML formats of published papers. This is in line with the the definition of Open Access of the Budapest Open Access Initiative.

MANUSCRIPT SUBMISSION

Manuscripts will be sent online in the web page of the journal http://plataforma.revesppod.com/privado/lista-articulos.aspx. Authors should go to the web page for submission of manuscripts of the journal and follow the instructions for manuscript submission. The system allows authors to follow the state of their manuscripts. The main text of the manuscript (without the names of the authors) along with the abstract, keywords, references, tables and figures will be uploaded in the same document. Each of the figures will be upload as different archives. These documents will be uploaded in the "Attach Files" section. More instructions for the use of the manuscript submission process can be found in its tutorial for authors:

The manuscript will be sent by one of the authors who will be the corresponding author during the editorial process of the manuscript. All notifications to the corresponding author will be sent by e-mail. It is assumed that all authors have participated in the work and are in agreement with the final content of the manuscript as the standards of the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE). For more information about authorship, go to Authors Recommendations**.**

ETHICAL ISSUES

Authors accept ethical responsibilities defined by the ICMJE in www.icmje.org.

Human and Animal Rights

When the study was performed on human persons, authors should clearly indicate if the procedures performed had previously been assessed by the responsible review ethics committee (institutional, regional o national). If no formal ethics committee is available, authors should clearly state if procedures were in accordance with the Helsinki Declaration of WHO, revised in 2013 (http://www.wma.net/es/30publications/10policies/b3/). If the study did not require the review of an ethics comitee (retrospective studies, non invasive observational studies...) this aspect should be stated in the manuscript. Papers could be rejected if editors consider that the study has not been carried out within an appropiate ethical framework.

For original papers of clinical trials in which some type of intervention on patients has been carried out, it will be mandatory for the authors to upload the letter of approval of the study by the ethics committee. That file should be uploaded during the manuscript submission process. In cases animal studies in a laboratory, authors should declare if they follow the animal ethics-based criteria for manuscript consideration adopted by the *International Association Guidelines of Veterinary Editors' Consensus Authors Guidelines on Animal Ethics and Welfare* (http://www.veteditors.org/consensus-author-guidelines-on-animal-ethics-and-welfare-for-editors).

Informed Consent

All research involving human participants need to obtained an informed consent to participate in the study from the particpants (or their parents or legal guardian in cases of children under 18). The use of medical information related to patients without its consent is considered an ethical misconduct. A statement to this effect should appear in the manuscript. The editor can ask to the authors a white model paper of the informed consent used in the study during the review of the manuscript. In clinical cases type of manuscripts, the informed consent of the patient could also be required to the authors.

At the same time, nonessential identifying information details of the subjects of the study should be omitted. Pictures and identifiable information of subjects (such us names, initials, history numbers...) should not be published unless the information is absolutely essential for scientific purposes of the paper and the patient (or parent o guardian) have given written informed consent for publication.

In cases of research in which vulnerable persons have been enrolled (minors, nonconcious patients or patients with cognitive deficiencies) where there exits potential for coertion oo the consent can not be fully explained, they will be considered as exceptional papers with the editors criteria and would be referred to an oversight group of the editorial board to study the consents of those studies separately.

Permissions of materials

It is the responsibility of the authors to obtain a written consent from copyright owners and mention the original font to reproduce materials (text, tables or figures) that had been previously published.

Misconduct in scholarly publishing

The editorial board of the journal will investigate any action considered an ethical misconduct and will take effective actions to solve them. Actions will always be proportionally given and investigation centers and universities of the authors and reviewers could be also implecated in cases needed. The most common misconduct practices that will be investigated are:

- Redundant publication (duplicate publication): it occurs when then content of a manuscript coincides substantially with other manuscript written for the same author(s). This could be by sending the manuscript to several journal for its publication at the same time.
- Plagiarism: it occurs when an author copy substantially the content of a published manuscript of other authors and it is intended to pass as its own work.
- Data fabrication or falsification: the fabrication, falsificaton or omission of data deliverately with the aim of variate the results and conclusions of the study are considered misconduct. This include manipulation and edition of pictures.
- Salami Slicing: it is the deliverate division of a work in the minimum published units. It occurs when an study is unnecesarily divided with the aim of obtaining more publications of the study.
- Funding or conflicts of interests non reported: it is the omission of conflicts of interests or funding of the study that could influence the readers perception of the conclusions reported of the authors.

AUTORSHIP

Authorship

Revista Española de Podología requires that all authors asume public responsability of the content of their work that has been publised. Following ICMJE recommendations, authorship of a manuscript should be based on the following four criteria: "1) Substantial contribution to the conception or design of the work or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; 2) Drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; 3) Final approval of the version to be published; 4) Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. All those designated as authors should meet all four criteria for authorship, and all who meet the four criteria should be

identified as authors. Those who do not meet all four criteria should appear in the acknowledgements section of the manuscript.

It is the authors' responsibility (not the journal), to determine that all people named as authors meet all four criteria; it is not the role of the journal editors to determine who qualifies or does not qualify for authorship or to arbitrate authorship conflicts of the manuscripts.

Authors filiations will describe actual job place and position of the author. That filiation should appear complete describing place of work including city, region and country.

Authors Contribution

Revista Española de Podología require to specify relative contribution of authors in the manuscritp subjected to publication in the sections of original papers, reviews, updates and clinical cases and notes. Authors contributions used in the journal are based in the CRediT taxonomy that defines 14 posible roles of specific contributions fo authors to a paper (https://casrai.org/credit/) and is actually the most accepted taxonomy in the international scientific comunity. However, in order to facilitate the process, the journal has summarized possible contributions of the authos in the following five cathegories:

1) Conception and design of the paper; 2) Data collection; 3) Analysis and interpretation of the results; 4) Creation, drafting and initial preparation of the manuscript; 5) Final review and acceptance of the manuscript. One author can appear in multiple roles and each author should appear in at least one of the roles. All authors should appear in the last cathegory (final review and acceptance of the manuscript).

For papers with just one author, it is recommended the statement: The author confirms the only responsability in the following aspects of the paper: Paper conception and design, data collection, results analysis and interpretation and drafting the manuscript.

Relative contribution of authors will be required during the manuscript submission process.

Originality and Plagiarism policies

Submission of manuscripts to its publication to *Revista Española de Podología* implies that the submitted work is original and has never been published previously in a written format (except for abstracts of scientific meetings). In cases that this requirement is not met, the paper will be discarded for publication in the journal. If the paper has been previously exposed orally in a seminar or congress, that information should be noted in the moment of its submission.

At the same time, submission of a manuscript implies that its publication is authorized by all authors an also by the authorities of the institutions where the study was carried out, and in case of acceptance of the paper it will not be published in any other place with the same format and in any other language. For reasons of verification, the manuscript could be checked by the Crossref Similarity Check.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The ICMJE states that: "A conflict of interest exists when a professional judgment concerning a primary interest (such as patients' welfare or the validity of research) may be influenced by a secondary interest (such as financial gain)." Financial relationships are the most easily identifiable conflicts of interest and include employment, consultancies, stock ownership and options, honoraria, patents and paid expert testimony. Authors should declare any financial conflict of interest at the moment of manuscript submission. However, conflicts of interests can also occur for other reasons such as personal relationships, academic competition, rivalries and intellectual beliefs. In this context, authors should also declare any kind of non-financial relationship (personal, academic, ideological, intellectual, politic o religious) that could cause a conflict of interest for the author. Authors sholud declare their conflicts of interests and the correponging author the conflict of interest and the Corresponding author will summit all together at the time of manuscript submission. In case that authors do not have any conflicts of interest, they should declare: "I declare that there is no relevant conflicts of interest".

FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

At the moment of manuscript submission authors should declare the origin and nature of all funds (public or private) used to accomplish their work, including data collection and analysis, or even manuscript preparation. Donations of technical equipment such as radiology and sonography equipment, pressure platforms, etc., for the accomplishment of the study will not be considered financial aid. In that case, donations of deliveries should be cited in the acknowledgements of the manuscript.

CLINICAL TRIALS REGISTRATION

Reports of clinical trials that want to be published in the journal should be previously registered in a registry which is a primary register of the WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (ICTRP) such us www.clinicaltrials.gov, Current Controlled Trials (http://www.isrctn.com), or the ICTRP itself (http://www.who.int/ictrp/es/) as many others. Registration of clinical trials in which exists intervention on humans is a scientific and ethical responsibility of authors and is considered the first step of transparency of the investigation and the trial. The ICMJE strongly recommends registration of clinical trials in a public trial registry before the start of the study in which any kind of intervention have been done on humans. The ICMJE defines a clinical trial as "any research project that prospectively assigns people or a group of people to an intervention, with or without concurrent comparison or control groups, to study the cause-and-effect relationship between a health-related intervention and a health outcome". Health-related interventions are those used to modify a biomedical or health-related outcome; examples include drugs, surgical procedures, devices, behavioral treatments, educational programs, dietary interventions, quality improvement interventions, and process-of-care changes. Health outcomes are any biomedical or health related measures obtained in patients or participants, including pharmacokinetic measures and adverse events.

MANUSCRIPTS FORM

All manuscripts will be submitted electronically in the platform of journal with a text processor such us Word or similar. For the edi-

torial process and review of the manuscript, authors should submit the manuscript in 2 different documents. The first document will be the first page or presentation page. The second document will be the manuscript itself.

First Page

1. Complete Tittle Title (less than 40 characters).
2. Full name of all authors.
3. Affiliation of all authors (department, institution, city and country).
4. Conflict of Interest form of all authors.
5. Financial Disclosure.
6. Postal and electronic mail of the authors indicating the corresponding author during the editorial process. Telephone number of the corresponding author should also be given.
7. Total number pages of the Manuscript (excluding Tables).
8. It should be stated if the work has been presented in previously in Congress or Seminars as oral presentation.
9. For those studies in which an intervention or treatment has been prospectively studied, the trial registration trial of the public registry (ej: International Clinical Trials Registry Platform – NCT0197585).
10. Authors declaration: This is for exclusive assessment of Editorial Board in which authors state why their manuscript is important and why it should be published in the journal. Maximum extension of 300 words.

Manuscript

Specific Norms for Manuscripts

The following norms are referred to the different types of manuscripts that the Journal considers for publication. These are general recommendations. For more specific recommendations of each type of manuscript, please, go to Authors Recommendations at the end of this text.

Reporting Guidelines for Different Study Types

Presently, several guidelines have been developed for the report of different study designs. Authors are encouraged to follow these reporting guidelines because they help authors to describe the study in enough detail to be evaluated by the Editorial Board, reviewers and readers in general. Examples include CONSORT for clinical trials (www.consort-statement.org), PRISMA for systematic reviews and meta-analysis (http:prisma-statement.org/), STROBE for observational studies (http:strobe-statement.org/) and STARD for studies of diagnostic accuracy (www.stard-statement.org/). Following these guidelines helps authors to report all important data of the investigation in the manuscript. Good sources for reporting guidelines are the EQUATOR (www.equator-network.org/home/) and the NLM's Research Reporting Guidelines and Initiatives (www.nlm.nih.gov/services/research_report_guide.html).

Original Manuscripts

The manuscript should be double-spaced, left margin justified and numbered consecutively in the bottom right corner. It should have a maximum extension of 4500 words, counting from the Tittle page to the end excluding tables. The content of the original manuscripts will have the following order:

1. Title: It should be concise and informative and should include the study design, for example: "Use of XXX and YYY in the Treatment of ZZZ: a randomized controlled trial." Avoid abbreviations.
2. Structured abstract: the abstract of the manuscript should not exceed 250 words and must be structured in separate sections: *a)* Objectives, *b)* Material and Methods or Patient and Methods (when the study was performed on patients), *c)* Results, y *d)* Conclusions. The abstract should include all relevant information of the study with no references.
3. Key Words.
4. Main text. It should include the following parts: a) Introduction; b) Material and Methods or Patients and Methods (when the study was performed on patients); c) Results; and d) Discussion. Conclusions should be included as a separate and last paragraph of the discussion. Each part of the main text should have adequate subheadings. Use these subheadings as much they are needed for clarity reasons specially in the Material and Methods or Patients and Methods section. Acknowledgments will appear at the end of the main text.
5. References.
6. Figures (optional).
7. Text of the Figures (optional).
8. Tables (optional)

Go to the Recommendations for Authors section for a more detailed description of the parts of the original paper.

Reviews

Double-spaced, left margin justified and numbered consecutively in the bottom right corner. There are no word limits for review manuscripts, although it is desirable that authors should be as concise as possible. In case of systematic reviews that do not contain a meta-analysis, manuscripts will have the following order:

1. Title
2. Structured abstract: the abstract of the manuscript should not exceed 250 words and must be structured in separate sections: *a)* Introduction, *b)* Methods, *c)* Results, y *d)* Conclusions. The abstract should include all relevant information of the study with no references.
3. Key Words.
4. Main text. It should include the following parts: a) Introduction; b) Material and Methods; c) Results; and d) Discussion. Conclusions should be included as a separate and last paragraph of the discussion. Each part of the main text should have adequate subheadings. Acknowledgments will appear at the end of the main text.
5. References.
6. Figures (optional).
7. Text of the Figures (optional).
8. Tables (optional)

Go to the Recommendations for Authors section for a more detailed description of the parts of the systematic review manuscripts.

In case of narrative of comprehensive reviews (non systematic) the manuscript will have the following order:

1. Title
2. Non-structured abstract with a maximum of 250 words. The abstract should include all relevant information of the study with no references.
3. Key Words.
4. Main text. The main text of narrative reviews can have different parts depending on authors criteria. Each part should have adequate subheadings. Acknowledgments will appear at the end of the main text.
5. References.
6. Figures (optional).
7. Text of the Figures (optional).
8. Tables (optional).

Clinical Cases and Clinical Notes

Double-spaced, left margin justified and numbered consecutively in the bottom right corner. It should have a maximum extension of 2500 words, counting from the title page to the end excluding tables. The content of the clinical cases and clinical notes manuscripts will follow the following order:

1. Title.
2. Non-structured abstract: the abstract of the manuscript should not exceed 250 words and should contain the information about the specific clinical situation or condition. In the case of clinical notes, it should be specified the novelty of the technique, modifications over previous standards and the advantages of the new approach proposed.
3. Key Words.
4. Main text. It should include the following parts: a) Introduction; b) Clinical Case/Technique; c) Discussion. Acknowledgments will appear at the end of the main text.
5. References.
6. Figures
7. Text of the Figures.
8. Tables (optional)

Letters to the Editor

Double-spaced, left margin justified and numbered consecutively in the bottom right corner. It should have a maximum extension of 1000 words, counting from the title page to the end excluding tables. The content of the letters to the editor manuscripts will have the following order:

1. Title.
2. Text without subheadings.
3. References.
4. Figures (optional).
5. Text of the Figures (optional).
6. Tables (optional).

General Rules for Manuscripts

As a general rule, past tense should be used to describe the activities performed during the investigation process, as well as the observed outcomes. Present tense is reserved for discussions of states of knowledge, which are considered ongoing (for example: "... conservative measures are the initial choice of treatment for plantar fasciitis...". In case of doubt, regarding to style or format, authors are encouraged to follow the "AMA Manual of Style: A Guide for Authors and Editors, 10th Edition". Main parts of the manuscripts, such us Introduction, Material and Methods..., will be identified by bold, capitalized, left-margin subheadings. In case of need of subheadings inside the main segments of the manuscript, these will appear by bold, capitalized first letter and left-margin. Notes at the bottom are not allowed.

Keywords

Authors should provide 4 to 10 keywords of the manuscript. This keywords should preferably be selected from the list published of Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) of the National Library of Medicine, available in: www.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/meshhome.html.

Abbreviations

The use of abbreviations should be limited as much as possible in the text of the manuscript. Avoid abbreviations in the title of the manuscript. Abbreviations must be defined at their first mention (for example, "... tibial posterior tendon (TPT)") and should be consistent throughout the manuscript. For reasons of clarity, try not to use more than 6 abbreviations per manuscript.

Trade Names

As a general rule, authors are encouraged to use generic names rather than trade names, especially in the title of the manuscript. In the case that a trade name owned for a drug, software or any other appliances , it is recommended to use the mark â or ä (according to the owner's preference) to indicate that there is a trademark of that substance or device. Trade Names should be followed by the name of the company and the country in brackets. (for example: Ibuprofen Cinfa â 600 mg [Laboratorios Cinfa SA, España]).

References

References should be cited in sequential numeric order following the order of appearance in the text beginning with the number "1" and continuing in order the first time that a particular reference is cited, until the last citation is noted. Citation numbers will appear in brackets [ ]. References cited in a table or figure should be numbered according to the sequence in which the table or figure in question appear in the text. Personal communications, manuscripts or any unpublished data should not be included in the reference list, although they may be included in brackets in the text of the paper or manuscript as "personal communication" with the name of the investigator or investigators and the date of the communication. For example: "(Kevin Kirby , DPM , personal communication , dd / mm / yyyy)". All references cited in the text should appear in the literature of the Reference List and vice versa.

References style and format will follow the *NLM's International Committee of Medical Journal Editors Recommendations for the Conduct,*

Reporting, Editing and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals: Sample References (available in https://www.nlm.nih.gov/bsd/uniform_requirements.html) and *NLM's Citing Medicine, 2nd edition* (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK7256). No more than 6 authors will be cited for each manuscript. In case of more than 6 authors, list the first 6 authors followed by the term "et al." Manuscripts accepted for publication but not published yet will appear as "In Press" at the end of the reference. Journals names should appear abbreviated following the List of Title Word Abbreviations: http://www.issn.org/services/online-services/access-to-the-ltwa/. It is the authors' responsibility (not the journal's responsibility) for the accuracy of citations. Authors should ensure absence of errors in the reference list before submission. To minimize that bias, authors are encouraged to review their reference list with electronic database such us PubMed (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed).

Examples of citations in *Revista Española de Podología*:

Paper in a Journal:

Martínez-Nova A, Sánchez-Rodríguez R, Pérez-Soriano P, Llana-Belloch S, Leal-Muro A, Pedrera-Zamorano JD. Plantar pressures determinants in mild Hallux Valgus. Gait Posture. 2010 Jul;32(3):425-7.

If the journal has continual numbers in a volume (most of medical journals do) month and number can be omitted.

Martínez-Nova A, Sánchez-Rodríguez R, Pérez-Soriano P, Llana-Belloch S, Leal-Muro A, Pedrera-Zamorano JD. Plantar pressures determinants in mild Hallux Valgus. Gait Posture. 2010;32:425-7.

Paper in a Journal with DOI:

Landorf KB, Menz HB, Armstrong DG, Herbert RD. Methodological quality of randomized trials published in the Journal of the American Podiatric Medical Association, 1999-2013. J Am Podiatr Med Assoc. 2015 Jul;105(4):320-9. doi: 10.7547/14-014.1.

Paper in a Supplement of a Journal:

Geraud G, Spierings EL, Keywood C. Tolerability and safety of frovatriptan with short and long-term use for treatment of migraine and in comparison with sumatriptan. Headache. 2002;42 Suppl 2:S93-9.

Book Chapter:

Meltzer PS, Kallioniemi A, Trent JM. Chromosome alterations in human solid tumors. In: Vogelstein B, Kinzler KW, editors. The genetic basis of human cancer. New York: McGraw-Hill; 2002. p. 93-113.

Book:

Munuera-Martinez PV. El Primer Radio. Biomecánica y Ortopodología. Santander: Exa Editores; 2009.

Document in electronic format:

Foley KM, Gelband H, editors. Improving palliative care for cancer [Internet]. Washington: National Academy Press; 2001 [cited 2015 Dic 12]. Available from: http://www.nap.edu/books/0309074029/html/.

Web Pages:

Clinical Practice Guideline Heel Pain Panel. Diagnosis and Treatment of Heel Pain. American College of Foot and Ankle Surgeons. Available at: http://www.acfas.org/Research-and-Publications/Clinical-Consensus-Documents/Clinical-Consensus-Documents/. Accessed December 2015.

Figures

Figures corresponding to pictures, graphics or drawings will be sent as separate archives (not included in the main text). They should be sent in TIFF or JPEG format, with a resolution not inferior to 300 dpi and using black and white color for lines and text inside the figure. Figures will be published in color in the electronic version of the journal and in black and white in the printed version of the journal. They will be numbered in Arabic numerals sequential order as they appear in the text, cited in brackets (figure 1).

Graphics, symbols and letters inside the figure will be big enough to be clearly identified. Special details of the figures will be marked with arrows using the best contrast available for this arrows and also any other symbol. As previously noted, pictures will not contain identifiable details of patients. Otherwise, informed consent of the patient will be submitted with the manuscript submission.

It is the authors' responsibility to obtain permissions from the copyright owner for reproduction of the figures that has been previously published. When the figure has been given by other physician, these should be acknowledged at the end of the figure of the text ("Image courtesy of...) and not in the acknowledgements section.

Tables

Tables will be sent as a editable text and nor as a figure. They will be numbered in Arabic numerals sequential order as they appear in the text, cited in brackets (figure 1). Each table will be doubled spaced including a title in its superior part and in the inferior part of the table the abbreviations should be described in alphabetic order. The content should be self explanatory and what is included in the tables should not figure in the text of the manuscript avoiding duplicity of the results cited in the main text.

Multimedia materials

Visual effective archives can give a message or an idea in a very effective and powerful way for readers. This type of communication is relevant in some types of manuscrits such us clinical cases in which it can be shown the result of a treatment or in the methods of original papers to explain some devices or explorations used in the study.

Revista Española de Podología supports the use of multimedia materials in their papers such us videos or audios as archives than complement the clasic written format of a paper. Authors that want to use those formats to express or strenghthen their ideas or results are wellcome, improving the quality of their publication.

Archives should be uploaded as particular archives at the moment of submission of the manuscript. They should be correctly referenced in the written manuscript.

Supplementary Files or Material

Supplementary files or material refers to files with information of the manuscript that authors give for its publication together with the manuscript. Usually they are files with aditional information such us tables, appedices, protocols of the study or any type of media material that for space and length reasons it is not possible to reproduce in the print version of the manuscript.

Sometimes the editor could suggest to the authors some information of the manuscrip to be sent as suplementary material if it is so big o extensive.

Revista Española de Podología support the use of suplementary materials in its papers that can be accessed freely from the web page. This material should be uploaded by the autors during the submission process of the manuscript in PDF type format.

Units

International System of Units is preferred. In case of using other systems, it is recommended to provide also their equivalence to the International System of Units.

Use of Digital Object Identifier

The Digital Object Identifier (DOI) can be used to cite and link to electronic documents. The DOI consists of a unique sequence of alpha-numeric characters assigned by the publisher upon the initial electronic publication. The assigned DOI is never modified and for that reason is a perfect way of electronic citation of documents, especially "in press" manuscripts, as they do not contain full bibliographic information yet. When a DOI is used for linking documents in the web, it is guarantee that it will never change.

Authors Proofs

Once a manuscript has been accepted for publication, one set of page proofs (as PDF files) will be sent by e-mail to the corresponding author which will make any last corrections previous to the final publication of the manuscript. If you do not wish to use the PDF annotations function, you may list the corrections (including replies to the Query Form) and return then to Elsevier in an e-mail. Please, use this proof only for checking the typesetting, editing, completeness and correctness of the text, tables and figures. Significant changes to the article accepted for publication will only be considered at this stage with permission from the Editor. We will do everything possible to get your article published quickly and accurately. It is important to ensure that all corrections are sent back to us in one communication: please check carefully before replying as inclusion of any subsequent corrections cannot be guaranteed. Proofreading is solely your responsibility.

Data confidentiality

All data given by the authors regarding the manuscript will be confidentially handle by the journal excepting those cases in which an investigation of misconduct needs to be carried out over the manuscript and authors.

RETRACTIONS AND CORRECTIONS OF PUBLISHED PAPERS

Revista Española de Podología allows the discussion and critical analysis of their papers once they have been published by means of letters to editor section (together with authors reply) or in its web page. Related to the postpublication process of the journal, the journal has adopted a clear policy about retraction and correction of papers published and will follow the guidelines for retraction and flow diagrams stablished by COPE (Code of Publishing Ehitcs) in this regard. Retraction and correction are tools of the scientific literature to warn readers about papers that have mistakes or flawed in their contents which makes conclusions not reliable.

These errors can be derived from honest authors with naive mistakes or because of a deliberate misconduct. It is important to point out that the main objective of retraction is to correct the literature and to ensure its integrity and not to punish authors. Retractions can be used to alert readers about cases of duplicate publication, plagiarism, peer review manipulation, improper copyrighted material use, misconduct or non declared important conflict of interests that, in the editor opinion, would influence in the interpretation or recommendation of the author conclusions.